



MEMORANDUM

To: City of White Salmon

From: Nelson\Nygaard

Date: June 5, 2023

Subject: Draft TSP Project Prioritization Framework

The White Salmon TSP will include a phased list of multimodal transportation projects. To determine which project locations are the highest priority for White Salmon to invest in during the near-term, each project will be evaluated for how well it meets community goals and needs. The table below includes six evaluation criteria and a scoring rubric for each.

Projects have a maximum possible base score of 12 (2 points x 6 metrics).

Criteria	Score
Connectivity: Does the project connect to key destinations, add a link in the bike network, fill a gap in the network or complete a cross-town route?	 2 points if the project completes a cross-town route, is a bicycle project on the draft bicycle network, and directly connects (within a distance of 250 feet) to numerous destinations (schools, parks, senior center, downtown, or the hospital)
	 1 point if the project fills a gap in a cross-town route or connects to destinations within a distance of 250 to 500 feet
	 0 points if the project does not serve a destination within 500 feet, is not on the draft bicycle network, and does not help provide a cross-town route
Public support: How much support did the project receive in Phase 2 TSP public outreach? Is the project a priority for Council?	 2 points if the project has a high level of public and Council support
	 1 point if the project has a medium level of public and Council support
	0 points if the project is not supported by the public or Council

White Salmon TSP Project Prioritization and Phasing Memo

City of White Salmon

Criteria	Score
Equity: Does the project serve an area with lower incomes or more people who speak a language other than English at home?	 2 points if the project is in the census block group with the greatest concentration of low-income and ESL-speaking residents
	 1 point if the project is in the census block group with the second-greatest concentration of low-income and ESL- speaking residents
	O points if the project is in the census block group with the lowest concentration of low-income and ESL-speaking residents

Safety Criteria	Score
Crash History: Is the project on a street or intersection with a history of crashes?	 Linear projects: 2 points if the project ranks in the top tier (1 of 2) for crash density, normalized per mile using natural breaks 1 point if the project ranks in the bottom tier (2 of 2) for crash density, normalized per mile using natural breaks 0 points if the project is in a location with no crashes Point projects: 2 points if the project is in the top tier (1 of 2) for number of crashes within 50', using natural breaks 1 point if the project in the bottom tier (1 of 2) for number of crashes within 50', using natural breaks 0 points if the project has no crashes within 50'
Traffic Stress: Is the project on a street or intersection with a higher order functional classification?	Functional class is assumed as a proxy for traffic volume, ease of crossing, and posted speed limit. 2 points if the project is within 250 feet of a State Highway 1 point if the project is within 250 feet of: Other Principal Arterial Major Collector Minor Collector o points if within 250 feet from a Local Access or Private roadway
School access: Is the project near a school / does it support safer school access?	 2 points if the project is within 500 feet of a school 1 point if the project is less than or equal to 800 feet and greater than 500 feet from a school 0 points if the project is greater than 800 feet from a school

City of White Salmon

Criteria to inform project phasing

These criteria will be applied after scoring projects using above GIS methods. While prioritization informs **where** it is most important to invest in new transportation projects, phasing tells us **when** those investments should take place. Multipliers for each may range from 1 to 2, resulting in a potential final score of up to 48 points.

Criteria	Scoring
Ease of implementation: Does the project have environmental impacts or right-of-way (ROW) or topography challenges? Is it in a location already slated for paving or other maintenance?	 Add higher multiplier if the project has no environmental impacts and is not constrained by topography or available ROW and/or is in a location slated for paving/maintenance in current 6-year TIP list Add medium multiplier if the project has some environmental impacts or ROW/topography constraints Add no multiplier if the project faces major challenges due to impacts and constraints
Funding: Does the project have high potential to get grant funding? (sources include STBG, TA, CMAQ, HSIP, NHPP, SRTS and Pedestrian/Bicycle program)	 Add higher multiplier if the project is a good fit for grant funding Add medium multiplier if the project is eligible but not a great fit Add no multiplier if the project is not a good candidate for grant funding